FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND



U.S. Department of Justice

2011 JUN 14 P 3:40

CLERK'S OFF United States Attorney AT BALTIMOR District of Maryland , Northern Division

Rod J. Rosenstein United States Attorney

Benjamin M. Block Assistant United States Attorney 36 South Charles Street Fourth Floor Baltimore, Maryland 21201

DIRECT: 410-209-4979 MAIN: 410-209-4800 FAX: 410-962-3124 TTY/TDD: 410-962-4462 Benjamin.Block@usdoj.gov

June 3, 2011

James Papirmeister, Esq. 8630 Fenton St. Silver Spring MD 20910

> Re: United States v. Edward Charles Schmitt; RDB-10-0742

Dear Mr. Papirmeister:

This letter, together with the Sealed Supplement, confirms the plea agreement which has been offered to the Defendant by the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland ("this Office"). If the Defendant accepts this offer, please have him execute it in the spaces provided below. If this offer has not been accepted by close of business on June 3, 2011, it will be deemed withdrawn.

The terms of the agreement are as follows:

Offense of Conviction

The Defendant agrees to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment 1. currently pending against him, which charges him with Receipt of Child Pornography, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2252(a)(2) and 2256. The Defendant admits that he is, in fact, guilty of that offense and will so advise the Court.

Elements of the Offense

- The elements of the offense to which the Defendant has agreed to plead guilty, and which this Office would prove if the case went to trial, are as follows:
 - The Defendant knowingly received a visual depiction; a.
- b. The depiction was shipped or transported in and affecting interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including computer;



- c. Producing the visual depiction involved using a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct:
 - d. The depiction is of a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct; and
- e. The Defendant knew that at least one performer in the visual depiction was a minor and knew that the depiction showed the minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct.

Penalties

- 3. (a) The maximum sentence provided by statute for the offense to which your client is pleading guilty is as follows: imprisonment for not less than five (5) years and not more than twenty (20) years, followed by a term of supervised release of not more than life and a fine of up to \$250,000. In addition, the Defendant must pay \$100 as a special assessment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013, which will be due and should be paid at or before the time of sentencing. This Court may also order him to make restitution pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663, 3663A, and 3664. If a fine or restitution is imposed, it shall be payable immediately. unless, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3572(d), the Court orders otherwise. The Defendant understands that if he serves a term of imprisonment, is released on supervised release, and then violates the conditions of his supervised release, his supervised release could be revoked - even on the last day of the term - and the Defendant could be returned to custody to serve another period of incarceration and a new term of supervised release. The Defendant understands that the Bureau of Prisons has sole discretion in designating the institution at which the Defendant will serve any term of imprisonment imposed.
- (b) The Defendant understands and agrees that as a consequence of his conviction for the crimes to which he is pleading guilty, he will be required to register as a sex offender in the place where he resides, where he is an employee, and where he is a student, pursuant to the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (SORNA), and the laws of the state of his residence. Failure to do so may subject him to new charges pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2250.

Waiver of Rights

- 4. The Defendant understands that by entering into this agreement, he surrenders certain rights as outlined below:
- a. If the Defendant had persisted in his plea of not guilty, he would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the close assistance of competent counsel. That trial could be conducted by a judge, without a jury, if the Defendant, this Office, and the Court all agreed.

M.

2

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612, if the Court imposes a fine in excess of \$2,500 that remains unpaid 15 days after it is imposed, the Defendant shall be charged interest on that fine, unless the Court modifies the interest payment in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f)(3).

- b. The Defendant has the right to have his case presented to a Grand Jury, which would decide whether there is probable cause to return an indictment against him. By agreeing to proceed by way of Information, he is giving up that right, and understands that the charges will be filed by the United States Attorney without the Grand Jury.
- c. If the Defendant elected a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve individuals selected from the community. Counsel and the Defendant would have the opportunity to challenge prospective jurors who demonstrated bias or who were otherwise unqualified, and would have the opportunity to strike a certain number of jurors peremptorily. All twelve jurors would have to agree unanimously before the Defendant could be found guilty of any count. The jury would be instructed that the Defendant was presumed to be innocent, and that presumption could be overcome only by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
- d. If the Defendant went to trial, the government would have the burden of proving the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The Defendant would have the right to confront and cross-examine the government's witnesses. The Defendant would not have to present any defense witnesses or evidence whatsoever. If the Defendant wanted to call witnesses in his defense, however, he would have the subpoena power of the Court to compel the witnesses to attend.
- e. The Defendant would have the right to testify in his own defense if he so chose, and he would have the right to refuse to testify. If he chose not to testify, the Court could instruct the jury that they could not draw any adverse inference from his decision not to testify.
- f. If the Defendant were found guilty after a trial, he would have the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's pretrial and trial decisions on the admissibility of evidence to see if any errors were committed which would require a new trial or dismissal of the charges against him. By pleading guilty, the Defendant knowingly gives up the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's decisions.
- g. By pleading guilty, the Defendant will be giving up all of these rights, except the right, under the limited circumstances set forth in the "Waiver of Appeal" paragraph below, to appeal the sentence. By pleading guilty, the Defendant understands that he may have to answer the Court's questions both about the rights he is giving up and about the facts of his case. Any statements the Defendant makes during such a hearing would not be admissible against him during a trial except in a criminal proceeding for perjury or false statement.
- h. If the Court accepts the Defendant's plea of guilty, there will be no further trial or proceeding of any kind, and the Court will find him guilty.
 - i. By pleading guilty, the Defendant will also be giving up certain



valuable civil rights and may be subject to deportation or other loss of immigration status.

Advisory Sentencing Guidelines Apply

5. The Defendant understands that the Court will determine a sentencing guidelines range for this case (henceforth the "advisory guidelines range") pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 at 18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3742 (excepting 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(b)(1) and 3742(e)) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 991 through 998. The Defendant further understands that the Court will impose a sentence pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act, as excised, and must take into account the advisory guidelines range in establishing a reasonable sentence.

Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation

- 6. This Office and the Defendant understand, agree and stipulate to the following Statement of Facts set forth in Attachment A hereto which this Office would prove beyond a reasonable doubt and to the following applicable sentencing guidelines factors:
 - (a) Pursuant to USSG § 2G2.2(a)(2) the base offense level is twenty-two (22).
- (b) Pursuant to USSG § 2G2.2(b)(1), there is a two (2) level decrease because the defendant's conduct was limited to the receipt or solicitation of material involving the sexual exploitation of a minor and the defendant did not intend to traffic in, or distribute, such material.
- (c) Pursuant to USSG § 2G2.2(b)(2), there is a two (2) level increase because the depictions involved prepubescent minors or minors under the age of twelve (12).
- (d) Pursuant to USSG § 2G2.2(b)(4), there is a four (4) level increase because the material portrays sadistic or masochistic conduct or other depictions of violence.
- (e) Pursuant to USSG § 2G2.2(b)(6), there is a two (2) level increase because the distribution involved the use of a computer.
- (d) Pursuant to USSG § 2G2.2(b)(7)(D), there is a five (5) level increase because the number of images exceeds 600.

This Office does not oppose a two-level reduction in the Defendant's adjusted offense level, based upon your client's apparent prompt recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for his criminal conduct. This Office agrees to make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b) for an additional 1-level decrease in recognition of your client's acceptance of personal responsibility for his conduct. This Office may oppose any adjustment for acceptance of responsibility if your client (a) fails to admit each and every item in the factual stipulation; (b) denies involvement in the offense; (c) gives conflicting statements about his involvement in the offense; (d) is untruthful with the Court, this Office or the United States



Probation Officer; (e) obstructs or attempts to obstruct justice prior to sentencing; (f) engages in any criminal conduct between the date of this agreement and the date of sentencing; or (g) attempts to withdraw his plea of guilty.

Thus, the defendant's final adjusted offense level is 30.

- 7. The Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to his criminal history or criminal history category, and that his criminal history could alter his offense level if he is a career offender or if the instant offense was a part of a pattern of criminal conduct from which he derived a substantial portion of his income.
- 8. This Office and the Defendant agree that, with respect to the calculation of the advisory guidelines range, no other offense characteristics, sentencing guidelines factors, potential departures or adjustments set forth in Chapters 2, 3, 4 or 5 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines (other than those stated in § 6, supra) will be raised or are in dispute.

Plea Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C)

9. The parties stipulate and agree pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(C) that a sentence of 84 months imprisonment in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons is the appropriate sentence in this case and that such sentence shall be concurrent to any sentence imposed in Case Number 13K10050558, currently pending in the Circuit Court for Howard County. This agreement does not affect the Court's discretion to impose any lawful term of supervised release or fine or to set any lawful conditions of probation or supervised release. In the event that the Court rejects this plea agreement, either party may elect to declare the agreement null and void. Should the Defendant so elect, he will be afforded the opportunity to withdraw his plea pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(d)(2)(A).

Obligations of the United States Attorney's Office

- 10. At the time of sentencing, the United States Attorney's Office will recommend a sentence of 84 months imprisonment, plus a lifetime period of supervised release. The Defendant may allocute for a period of supervised release less than life but not less than five years. At the time of sentencing, this Office will move to dismiss any open counts against the Defendant.
- 11. The parties reserve the right to bring to the Court's attention at the time of sentencing, and the Court will be entitled to consider, all relevant information concerning the Defendant's background, character and conduct, including the conduct that is the subject of any counts of the Indictment that this Office has agreed to dismiss at sentencing.

Waiver of Appeal



- 12. In exchange for the concessions made by this Office and the Defendant in this plea agreement, this Office and the Defendant waive their rights to appeal as follows:
- a. The Defendant knowingly waives all right, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291 or otherwise, to appeal the Defendant's conviction;
- b. The Defendant and this Office knowingly waive all right, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3742 or otherwise, to appeal whatever sentence is imposed (including the right to appeal any issues that relate to the establishment of the advisory guidelines range, the determination of the defendant's criminal history, the weighing of the sentencing factors, and the decision whether to impose and the calculation of any term of imprisonment, fine, order of forfeiture, order of restitution, and term or condition of supervised release), except as follows: (i) the Defendant reserves the right to appeal any term of imprisonment to the extent that it exceeds 84 months imprisonment; (ii) and this Office reserves the right to appeal any term of imprisonment to the extent that it is below 84 months imprisonment.
- c. Nothing in this agreement shall be construed to prevent the Defendant or this Office from invoking the provisions of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 35(a), or from appealing from any decision thereunder, should a sentence be imposed that resulted from arithmetical, technical, or other clear error.
- d. The Defendant waives any and all rights under the Freedom of Information Act relating to the investigation and prosecution of the above-captioned matter and agrees not to file any request for documents from this Office or any investigating agency.

Obstruction or Other Violations of Law

13. The Defendant agrees that he will not commit any offense in violation of federal, state or local law between the date of this agreement and his sentencing in this case. In the event that the Defendant (i) engages in conduct after the date of this agreement which would justify a finding of obstruction of justice under USSG §3C1.1, or (ii) fails to accept personal responsibility for his conduct by failing to acknowledge his guilt to the probation officer who prepares the Presentence Report, or (iii) commits any offense in violation of federal, state or local law, then this Office will be relieved of its obligations to the Defendant as reflected in this agreement. Specifically, this Office will be free to argue sentencing guidelines factors other than those stipulated in this agreement, and it will also be free to make sentencing recommendations other than those set out in this agreement. As with any alleged breach of this agreement, this Office will bear the burden of convincing the Court of the Defendant's obstructive or unlawful behavior and/or failure to acknowledge personal responsibility by a preponderance of the evidence. The Defendant acknowledges that he may not withdraw his guilty plea because this Office is relieved of its obligations under the agreement pursuant to this paragraph.



Court Not a Party

14. The Defendant expressly understands that the Court is not a party to this agreement. In the federal system, sentence is imposed by the Court, and the Court is under no obligation to accept this plea agreement. In the event the Court rejects this Rule 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement, pursuant to Rule 11(c)(5)(C), the Defendant will be informed that he may withdraw his plea. If he persists in the guilty plea thereafter, the Defendant understands that the disposition of the case may be less favorable than that contemplated by this agreement. The Defendant understands that neither this Office, his attorney, nor the Court can make a binding prediction or promise that the Court will accept this agreement. The Defendant agrees that no one has made such a binding prediction or promise.

Forfeiture

15. The defendant agrees to forfeit all right, title and interest in the property seized by law enforcement authorities from his residence on May 18, 2010, including, but not limited to: one Gateway Laptop computer (s/n 1975K1028909); one Compaq Laptop computer (s/n CNF5391J9Z); and one PNY 2GB MicroSD Multimedia Card (currently held in evidence by the Howard County Police Department). He further agrees to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to those properties to the United States.

Restitution

For purposes of sentencing, the offense of conviction constitutes a crime 16. of violence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 16. Therefore, under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663A, 2259, and 3771, any identified victim is entitled to mandatory restitution. The restitution could include the medical bills, compensation for time missed from work, as well as counseling costs (including travel) for any of the victims related to the incident, if any such costs exist or are reasonably projected. 18 U.S.C. §§2259, 3663A(b)(2) and (4). The Defendant further agrees that he will fully disclose to the probation officer and to the Court, subject to the penalty of perjury, all information, including but not limited to copies of all relevant bank and financial records, regarding the current location and prior disposition of all funds obtained as a result of the criminal conduct set forth in the factual stipulation. The Defendant further agrees to take all reasonable steps to retrieve or repatriate any such funds and to make them available for restitution. If the Defendant does not fulfill this provision, it will be considered a material breach of this plea agreement, and this Office may seek to be relieved of its obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that an unanticipated amount of a restitution order will not serve as grounds to withdraw Defendant's guilty plea. If the Defendant is incarcerated, the Defendant agrees to participate in the Bureau of Prisons Inmate Financial Responsibility Program.

Entire Agreement



17. This letter supersedes any prior understandings, promises, or conditions between this Office and the Defendant and, together with the Sealed Supplement, constitutes the complete plea agreement in this case. The Defendant acknowledges that there are no other agreements, promises, undertakings or understandings between the Defendant and this Office other than those set forth in this letter and the Sealed Supplement and none will be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

If the Defendant fully accepts each and every term and condition of this agreement, please sign and have the Defendant sign the original and return it to me promptly.

Very truly yours,

Rod J. Rosenstein United States Attorney

Benjamin M. Block

Assistant United States Attorney

I have read this agreement, including the Sealed Supplement, and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I understand it, and I voluntarily agree to it. Specifically, I have reviewed the Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation with my attorney, and I do not wish to change any part of it. I am completely satisfied with the representation of my attorney.

<u>6/3/4</u>

Edward Charles Schmitt

I am Mr. Schmitt's attorney. I have carefully reviewed every part of this agreement, including the Sealed Supplement, with him. He advises me that he understands and accepts its terms. To my knowledge, his decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

Dote

James 🎝. Papirmeister, Esq

EXHIBIT A STIPULATED FACTS

The undersigned parties hereby stipulate and agree that if this case had proceeded to trial, the government would have proven the following facts beyond a reasonable doubt. The undersigned parties also stipulate and agree that the following facts do not encompass all of the evidence that would have been presented had this matter proceeded to trial.

Edward Charles Schmitt, age 38, is a resident of Baltimore, Maryland.

On May 21, 2010, a woman reported to the Howard County Police that, at some point in February 2010, the Defendant, Edward Charles Schmitt, had placed a hidden camera in her 15 year old daughter's closet. The Howard County Police Department executed a search and seizure warrant at Mr. Schmitt's residence in Laurel, Maryland. Among the items recovered from the home were one Gateway Laptop computer (s/n 1975K1028909), one Compaq Laptop computer (s/n CNF5391J9Z), and one PNY 2GB MicroSD Multimedia Card. Each of these items was the property of the Defendant.

These items were forensically examined pursuant to lawful search warrants. The two laptop computers were found to contain visual depictions of minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct. The multimedia card contained images taken by the camera hidden in the closet of the minor female. The images on the Gateway Laptop computer depicted prepubescent minors, under the age of 12, engaged in sexually explicit conduct. Between the two laptop computers, there were 32 still images and 16 videos of minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct. These images and videos included sadistic or masochistic conduct, or other depictions of violence. Pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines Commentary regarding U.S.S.G. § 2G2.2(b)(7), "[e]ach video, video-clip, movie, or similar video depiction shall be considered to have 75 images." Therefore, the Defendant's computers contained in excess of 600 images for purposes of a sentencing guidelines enhancement under U.S.S.G. § 2G2.2(b)(7).



The forensic examination also revealed that Limewire version 4.1x had been installed on the Gateway Laptop computer. Limewire is a peer-to-peer file sharing program that is widely used by solicitors and purveyors of child pornography to download, trade and view images of children engaged in sexually explicit conduct. Videos recovered from the Limewire Saved Folder contained videos depicting images of child pornography that were downloaded on May 19 and 20, 2010. Forensic examination also revealed that the most recently viewed video file on the computer was a video depicting a child engaged in sexually explicit conduct and that the child in the video is a known child victim.

The Defendant admits that he sought out these visual depictions on the internet and knew the images depicted minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct; in addition, the images had traveled in interstate or foreign commerce.

I have read this statement of facts, and carefully reviewed it with my attorney. I acknowledge that it is true and correct.

Date

Edward Charles Schmitt

